

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 BEVERLY J. WATKINS,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER,

8 Defendant.
9

Case No. 2:16-cv-01105-APG-PAL

**ORDER ON REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION**

(ECF. No. 42)

10 On November 17, 2017, Magistrate Judge Leen entered an order granting defendant
11 University Medical Center's motion to enforce the parties' settlement agreement, although she
12 denied the request for sanctions. ECF No. 42. Judge Leen also recommended that this case be
13 dismissed with prejudice with each side responsible for its own attorney's fees and costs. *Id.*

14 No one filed an objection to Judge Leen's order or her recommendation. Thus, I am not
15 obligated to conduct a de novo review of the recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring
16 district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified
17 proposed findings to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,
18 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings
19 and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)). I
20 nevertheless conducted a de novo review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Leen sets forth the proper
21 legal analysis and factual basis for the decision.

22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Leen's report and recommendation (**ECF No.**
23 **42) is accepted.** This case is **DISMISSED with prejudice** with each side responsible for its own
24 costs and attorney's fees.

25 DATED this 8th day of December, 2017.

26 

27 ANDREW P. GORDON
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE